

Article

Transformational leadership as a central element in rural education: Synergies between endogenous development and government policies

Yolanda González Castro*, Omaira Manzano Duran, Marta Milena Peñaranda

Calle 5 # 3 - 05 Barrio El Carmen, UNAD CCAV, Pamplona 543050, Colombia

* Corresponding author: Yolanda González Castro, yolanda.gonzalez@unad.edu.co

CITATION

González Castro Y, Manzano Duran O, Peñaranda MM. (2026). Transformational leadership as a central element in rural education: Synergies between endogenous development and government policies. *Journal of Infrastructure Policy and Development*. 10(2): 026310042.
<https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd026310042>

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 30 July 2026

Accepted: 20 September 2026

Available online: 13 October 2026

COPYRIGHT



Copyright © 2026 by author(s).
Journal of Infrastructure Policy and Development is published by EnPress Publisher, LLC. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Abstract: Rural education in Latin America is marked by historical inequalities in terms of access, quality, and retention, which are exacerbated by population dispersion, infrastructure deficits, teacher attrition, and curricula disconnected from local realities. Despite state efforts across the region to implement policies aimed at reducing these gaps, their impact remains uneven due to limitations in the territorial adaptation of top-down guidelines. In this context, educational leadership emerges as a critical factor in coordinating efforts. In particular, transformational and pedagogical approaches act as catalysts for innovation, promoting strategic synergies between the endogenous development of communities and governmental frameworks. Evidence suggests that these leadership styles optimize school management, elevate teacher self-efficacy, and consolidate communities of practice that strengthen institutional outcomes. Furthermore, the study identifies distinctive characteristics within peasant and ethnic contexts, demanding flexible and culturally relevant models. Thus, transformational leadership aligns with the principles of equity and social justice, shaping the school as a node for territorial development. It is concluded that the sustainable strengthening of rural education in Latin America depends on leadership capable of harmonizing macro-policies, micro-practices, and meso-territorial realities, thereby driving inclusion and systemic co-creation.

Keywords: rural education; social justice; distributed leadership; educational leadership; transformational leadership; Latin America

1. Introduction

Rural education constitutes one of the most complex areas of concern within global education systems, reflecting the marked social and economic inequalities that divide vulnerable regions. In the Latin American context, rural areas have been subject to marginalization that translates into disparities in access, coverage, quality, and student retention rates compared with those in urban environments (Bautista et al., 2020; Villafuerte-Holguín et al., 2021). These gaps are not isolated; they stem from historical factors, such as extreme geographic dispersion, accumulated deficits in physical and technological infrastructure, instability and a lack of qualified teachers in specific areas, and one of the most complex barriers is the disconnect between curricula, which often ignore traditional knowledge and the productive realities of rural areas (Arias-Velandia et al., 2023).

In response to this situation, various states in the region and international organizations have designed regulatory frameworks and programs aimed at mitigating vulnerability in rural areas, based on criteria of inclusion, equity, and territorial relevance. However, contemporary scientific literature consistently warns that the implementation of these macro-level guidelines often results in fragmented and

profound heterogeneous impacts. Authors such as Jaramillo Neira et al. (2022) and Echavarría Grajales et al. (2023) point out that this limited effectiveness stems from gaps in education system management, the influence of micro-level socioeconomic dynamics within the territories, and insufficient budgets that undermine the long-term sustainability of reforms.

Given this situation, the need arises to analyze rural schools from the perspective of their internal micropolitics and local agency. At this point, educational leadership ceases to be understood as a mere technical-administrative function and is consolidated as a key strategy for enabling social justice and school change (Harris & Jones, 2021). From this perspective, the rural school principal is no longer merely an executor of administrative tasks and becomes the linchpin of the local educational system. Their main function is defined as a critical and collaborative translation: they act as an ethical and operational bridge that receives government policies designed at the central level and, far from confronting them, enriches and adapts them through dialogue with their community. This mediation seeks to enhance the effectiveness of the regulations, imbuing them with territorial meaning and aligning official guidelines with the productive vocations, cultural identities, and socioeconomic needs of their environment to overcome the historical shortcomings in rural education.

Specifically, transformational leadership and pedagogical approaches serve as critical catalysts for educational change; these models stimulate innovation, foster distributed collaborative work, and drive situated school improvement processes, giving meaning to the educational experience from a local perspective (Díaz-Jaimes & Catalán-Cueto, 2024; Leithwood, 2021). The transformational leader in rural areas, therefore, acts as a catalyst in the face of homogeneous policies, adapting them to bring them into harmony.

Despite the theoretical relevance of this approach, a significant gap persists in the literature regarding how school leadership dynamics interact with the complex environments of rural schools, characterized by multiculturalism, geographic isolation, and social vulnerability (García-Garnica et al., 2022). In an effort to address this cognitive gap and contribute to the regional academic debate on educational resilience and public management, this research aimed to examine the mechanisms linking leadership theory and school reality. Consequently, the study was guided by the following research question: How do transformational leadership theories help explain the transformation of rural education in Latin America, and what are the current and future trends associated with this process? To answer this question, the article is structured as follows: first, it examines the theoretical foundations that link leadership with social justice in vulnerable environments; second, it describes the documentary methodological approach employed; Thirdly, emerging trends derived from territorial analysis are discussed; and finally, it presents the conclusions and implications for educational public policy.

Consequently, this article does not merely describe the management tools available for rural areas but delves into the necessary synergies between state-level guidelines and the urgent need to promote endogenously based educational development. Through this analysis, it seeks to demonstrate how transformational leadership becomes a key coordinating factor capable of harmonizing both dimensions,

bringing government agendas in line with the realities of rural identity to optimize the impact of the education system in these territories.

2. Literature review

The theoretical framework of this research is structured around a multidimensional perspective that seeks to understand the complexity of school management in peripheral territories. To this end, the analysis is organized around three fundamental and interdependent axes: first, it examines the structural asymmetries and profound sociocultural diversity that characterize rural education in Latin America; second, it explores transformational leadership as a key driver of school change and the promotion of social justice; and finally, it discusses the dynamics of rural public policies in the region, highlighting the need for meso-territorial mediation. Through this conceptual framework, the role of the educational leader is established not as an isolated agent, but as the indispensable link for harmonizing governmental frameworks with the endogenous capacities of peasant and ethnic communities.

2.1. Structural asymmetries and diversity of rural education in Latin America

Rural education in Latin America is shaped by profound structural and historical inequalities rooted in geopolitical factors. According to assessments by multilateral agencies (UNESCO, 2021), the main problems facing the sector in the region converge on low coverage at the post-primary levels, deficient physical and technological infrastructure, severe limitations in digital connectivity, and a marked disconnect between the curriculum and the realities of urban communities. These shortcomings not only restrict the fundamental right to education but also perpetuate intergenerational poverty traps in the continent's rural areas.

In essence, contemporary scientific evidence demonstrates that rural Latin America is far from a homogeneous network; on the contrary, it encompasses peasant contexts, Indigenous communities, Afro-descendant populations, frontier settlements, and territories deeply affected by sociopolitical conflicts (UNESCO Rural UNAL, 2023). This complex sociocultural and geographical heterogeneity demands the design and implementation of flexible, relevant, and situated educational models capable of recognizing local epistemologies and challenging the patterns of exclusion that have historically characterized the peripheries of the continent.

2.2. Transformational leadership as a vector of school change

Given the limitations of traditional management approaches, transformational leadership in rural education is understood as a critical paradigm for driving school improvement. Initially conceptualized by Burns (1978), this model is defined as a dynamic process in which leaders and followers mutually elevate their levels of motivation and morality, transcending individual interests in favor of collective goals of social justice. Subsequently, Bass (1985) developed this approach further by identifying four interdependent dimensions: idealized influence (building credibility), inspirational motivation (articulating a challenging vision of the future), intellectual

stimulation (fostering innovation and questioning the status quo), and individualized consideration (responding empathically to the particular needs of each team member).

In the school ecosystem, Leithwood and Jantzi (2005) argue that transformational leadership is one of the most powerful mechanisms for increasing institutional effectiveness, especially in environments characterized by vulnerability and high complexity. This leadership style exerts an indirect but decisive influence on student learning, as it strengthens the self-efficacy and capabilities of the teaching staff, promotes innovative pedagogical practices, and consolidates cultures of collaboration and communities of practice (UNESCO, 2013).

In the context of rural schools, these dimensions have crucial analytical and practical value. As Bolívar (2010) argues, school leaders who demonstrate transformative leadership mitigate the pedagogical isolation resulting from geographic dispersion, strengthen institutional identity, and foster community cohesion around territorial development projects. Thus, the transformational leader does not operate as a mere technical-administrative executor, but rather as a cultural and agentic mediator who energizes pedagogical practices and promotes an inclusive school attuned to its sociocultural environment.

2.3. Rural public policies in the region: Tensions between standardization and meso-territorial reality

Within the framework of regional equity agendas, several Latin American countries have promoted policies theoretically aimed at closing the historical and structural gaps between urban and rural areas. Examples include the National Education Policy in Rural Territories in Chile (Mineduc, 2026), the implementation of the New Mexican School (SEP, 2025), and the Comprehensive Rural Education Policy in Colombia (MEN, 2020) articulate common intervention strategies: strengthening networks of micro-schools, contextualized teacher training, integrating technology in isolated areas, and linking schools with productive vocations and local development.

However, a critical analysis of the region's political trajectory reveals an implementation gap between the macro-level design of these guidelines and their micro-level execution within local institutions. Bohórquez Forero (2018) and Dáz Delgado et al. (2025) warn that government strategies in Latin America have historically prioritized mass coverage approaches and efficiency logics over profound transformations in pedagogical relevance and the need for greater grounding in the cultural diversity inherent to the Latin American landscape.

Currently, this challenge is compounded by the need to strengthen multilevel governance. Contemporary studies (Jaramillo Neira et al., 2022) indicate that the practical application of rural policies faces barriers associated with institutional fragmentation, administrative discontinuity caused by political cycles, and budgets that demand greater sustainability. It is within this operational gap that the need for transformational leadership is justified: faced with the asynchronicity and centralized standardization of state policies, rural education leaders operate proactively at the meso-territorial level, translating, adapting, and giving macro-level policies

collaborative meaning to support and improve educational quality in their communities.

3. Methodology

This research employed a hybrid methodological design that combines quantitative science mapping with qualitative documentary content analysis (Van Eck & Waltman, 2010; Bardin, 2013). This multi-method approach allows, firstly, the identification and visualization of the intellectual and relational structure of the field of study through bibliometric techniques and, secondly, the interpretation of emerging trends, complementarities, and theoretical gaps surrounding the transformation of rural education through transformative leadership.

The research process began with the definition of the search strategy and the collection of information. The Web of Science (WoS) database was selected for this purpose due to its high indexing standards, editorial rigor, and recognition within the global scientific community. The systematic search was conducted using Boolean operators and English descriptors to ensure coverage of high-impact literature, employing the following equation: (“rural education” OR “education rural”) AND (“leadership” OR “transformational leadership” OR “educational leadership”) AND (“latin america*” OR “chile*” OR “mexic*” OR “colombia*” OR “peru*”).

Subsequently, the retrieved records were selected and refined according to predefined eligibility criteria. To ensure the internal validity of the analysis, the retrieved records underwent double screening based on the transparency principles of systematic reviews. The inclusion criteria required: (a) peer-reviewed empirical or theoretical articles; (b) studies whose main focus was school leadership in rural settings; and (c) documents addressing dynamics of equity, inclusion, or social change in Latin America. On the other hand, duplicate documents across indexes, research focused exclusively on higher education or contexts outside the region, and manuscripts with methodological inconsistencies were excluded. After reviewing titles, abstracts, and full text, the final sample was compiled.

Once the sample was refined, technical processing and bibliometric analysis were performed. The metadata were exported in plain text format (txt) for analysis using the specialized software VOSviewer. A computational analysis of keyword co-occurrence was conducted using the counting method. This mathematical procedure allowed for the normalization of the strength of association between concepts through the link strength index and the construction of the dense knowledge network. Additionally, to project trends and meso-territorial realities, this stage was enriched with documentary mapping of prospective reports from international agencies (UNESCO, OECD) and Latin American ministries of education.

Finally, the process shifted from relational mapping to qualitative content analysis and hermeneutic interpretation. Based on the bibliometric mapping of keyword co-occurrence, six distinct thematic clusters were identified, representing the core areas of current scientific discussion. Thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006) was then applied to representative texts within each cluster. This qualitative process allowed for the deconstruction of trends, the identification of school-community synergies, the tracing of distributed leadership mechanisms in rural areas, and the

articulation of the micro, meso, and macro dimensions that shape the phenomenon under study across the region.

4. Results

Mapping contemporary scientific literature reveals a profound shift in the concept of leadership within rural areas. Over the last decade, research has moved from a primarily pedagogical approach focused on teaching practice (2015–2019) to a resilience model driven by the digital divide during the health crisis (2020–2021). Currently, this trend is consolidating around socio-territorial leadership, where rural schools are understood as engines of equity and community development, with future developments pointing towards a context in which digital governance and the strategic use of data and artificial intelligence will redefine rural management by 2030.

4.1. Mapping current trends in regional rural educational transformation

Transformative leadership in rural education is conceptualized as the capacity of administrators and teachers to mobilize significant changes in school culture, fostering innovation, democratic participation, and continuous improvement. According to contemporary scientific literature, situated pedagogical leadership can have a direct and measurable impact on the quality of educational practice within Latin American rural ecologies, provided it is deployed in a collaborative, distributed, and deeply contextualized manner (Díaz-Jaimes & Catalán-Cueto, 2024).

This management paradigm is operationalized through five fundamental vectors: the construction of a shared vision that transforms the institutional isolation of the centers; the motivation of marginalized educational communities; the strategic management of change in constructive articulation with centralist policies; the promotion of disruptive and intercultural pedagogical practices; and the close integration of school activities with the productive and sociocultural characteristics of the territory.

In the regional context, the development of these competencies has been driven by structured public policy initiatives, such as the associative micro-centers in Chile or the community school management networks in Mexico and Colombia, which have reported positive transformations in the management of institutions in peripheral areas (Fundación Empresarios por la Educación, 2024; Mineduc, 2026). Analysis of current scientific literature has revealed the articulation of six emerging conceptual cores (See **Figure 1**).



Figure 1. Emerging conceptual Cluster. Source: Authors using VOSviewer software.

4.1.1. Cluster 1: Strategic school management and institutional reconfiguration

Transformative leadership offers a paradigm for reshaping rural school management, an environment where the structural challenges facing Latin America's structural needs demand highly innovative institutional responses. This approach transcends traditional models of educational administration—historically characterized by vertical supervision and bureaucratic reproduction of established practices—to adopt a perspective of strategic support oriented towards cultural development and participatory governance.

From an organizational perspective, this model is based on the manager's ability to shape institutional values and promote self-evaluation and continuous improvement processes. Mercado and Bernate (2026) identify transformational and collaborative leadership as a key dimension for aligning school administration with the realities of the region. Similarly, D áz and Rojas (2025) argue that the leader's transformational behavior fosters organizational synergy, enabling the consolidation of high-performing teaching teams that mitigate professional burnout resulting from geographic isolation, thus positioning rural institutions as dynamic spaces for social development.

4.1.2. Cluster 2: Social justice, equity and territorial recognition

Rural education in Latin America faces geographical challenges due to socioeconomic inequalities that impact students' rights to access, retention, and academic success. In this context, transformative leadership is directly linked to the theoretical frameworks of social justice and educational equity, guiding administrative practices toward the explicit recognition of ethnic, peasant, and indigenous diversity.

Scientific literature consistently warns of the challenges posed by standardized policies when applied without sufficient consideration of the specific characteristics of rural schools (Villafuerte-Holguín et al., 2021). In response, Soto (2023) argues that transformative leadership in vulnerable communities must necessarily be inclusive, promoting equitable internal practices that guarantee the right to culturally relevant education. This approach is not limited to optimizing system efficiency indicators but

rather recognizes the rural school as a space of intrinsic value, inclusion, and democratic citizenship.

4.1.3. Cluster 3: Situated pedagogical innovation and flexible curricula

Pedagogical innovation emerges in the literature as a critical trend for making teaching and learning processes more flexible in Latin America. The transformational leader acts as a facilitator who legitimizes the adoption of active methodologies, including approaches suited to multigrade schools, micro-centers, and locally based pedagogical projects, and the constructive incorporation of digital technologies, local knowledge, and community traditions into the formal curriculum. From this perspective, innovation is not simply about providing technological infrastructure but rather involves a methodological evolution of classroom practices. Palacios-Núñez (2025) points out that educational innovation, when driven by leadership with a transformative vision, significantly improves the quality of learning and students' critical thinking. Similarly, Ramírez (2021) highlights the role of teacher leadership in the classroom as the determining factor for the sustainability of didactic changes. Thus, rural innovation acquires a situated character, designed to respond to the realities of students in the sector.

4.1.4. Cluster 4: Distributed leadership and strengthening the teaching role

The role of the rural teacher in Latin America has shifted from a primarily instructional function to that of an agent of social transformation and pedagogical leader. This transition is conceptually linked to the development of distributed leadership, a model where authority and pedagogical decision-making are no longer concentrated in the monolithic figure of the principal but are instead disseminated in a participatory manner among the teaching staff.

Transformational leadership acts as a catalyst for this process by fostering open and safe organizational climates. Melo Aldana (2024) demonstrates how the support and socio-emotional guidance provided by school leaders shape teachers' perceptions of their own self-efficacy, thus optimizing the school climate in highly complex environments. Riascos-Hinestroza and Becerril-Arostegui (2021), for their part, emphasize that the pedagogical knowledge accumulated by rural teachers constitutes the most valuable resource for consolidating relevant educational practices in vulnerable regions. Strengthening the role of teachers, therefore, demands their recognition as co-leaders of school change.

4.1.5. Cluster 5: School-community synergy and sustainable territorial development

The Latin American rural school cannot be analyzed in isolation from the surrounding community and territorial dynamics. Studies indicate a mutually beneficial relationship between school management, community participation, and local development agendas. The transformative leader employs translation and mediation skills to dissolve the traditional boundaries between school and home, thereby energizing the social capital of the territory.

In this regard, Rosario (2024) argues that schools located in contexts of high economic vulnerability become centers of community cohesion and transformation when led by ethically committed leaders. Similarly, Jaramillo-Neira (2022) highlights

that the most successful school practices are those developed through direct dialogue with the sociocultural and indigenous dynamics of the territory. This trend underpins an educational model oriented towards sustainable territorial development, where the rural school acts as a catalyst for civic engagement.

4.1.6. Cluster 6: Multilevel governance, public policies and meso-territorial mediation

State macro-level policies in the region play a leading role in resource allocation and standard setting; therefore, scientific literature calls for strengthening articulation through differentiated approaches that recognize the geographical and historical particularities of the peripheral regions of Latin America.

Jiménez (2022) argues that, although educational policies are aligned with the goals of quality and teacher professional development, in rural areas these guidelines require a rigorous process of territorial adaptation. In this context of multilevel governance, the transformative leader plays a critical role in meso-territorial mediation: acting as a link between policy and practice, interpreting, enriching, and adapting centralized macro-level guidelines to make them viable, relevant, and meaningful within the micro-realities of their school communities. In this way, a constructive alliance is fostered where government policies and endogenous development models mutually reinforce each other, advancing toward much more flexible, efficient, and inclusive school management systems at the continental level.

4.2. Evolutionary trajectory of regional literature (2015–2026)

Longitudinal analysis of scientific production shows that transformational leadership in rural education in the region has undergone a complex conceptual shift, moving away from linear and efficiency-driven visions towards more collaborative and adaptive models.

Between 2015 and 2019, the discussion focused primarily on strengthening pedagogical leadership and traditional teacher professional development programs, under the influence of school effectiveness frameworks (Leithwood & Louis, 2012). Subsequently, between 2020 and 2021, the emergence of global health crises prompted a shift towards adaptive leadership, focusing on organizational resilience, the socio-emotional well-being of teachers working in isolation, and the design of virtual or remote learning environments as contingency measures (UNESCO, 2021).

From 2021 to 2023, the literature adopted a more integrated socio-territorial approach, prioritizing the dimensions of social justice, educational equity, and co-designing curricula with community and Indigenous foundations (Hargreaves & Shirley, 2023). Looking ahead to 2024–2026 and projections to 2030, cutting-edge research thoughtfully proposes the need to transition toward a situated digital governance ecosystem, critically mediated by Artificial Intelligence and connectivist environments (Siemens, 2005; UNESCO, 2023). This trend is not conceived as a replacement for local dynamics, but rather as an ‘augmented rurality,’ a model where the strategic use of data and institutional innovation are harmoniously integrated with traditional rural knowledge to optimize educational outcomes and reduce technological marginalization.

5. Discussion

The integrated analysis of the results reveals that rural education in Latin America is at a historical turning point where traditional, technical, and centralized management models are insufficient to address the need for contextually relevant education. Bibliometric and qualitative findings consistently indicate that the sustainability of educational reforms depends not exclusively on the design of macro-level policies and regulations but rather in the agency and leadership capacity situated at the meso-territorial level (Díaz-Jaimes & Catalán-Cueto, 2024; García-Garnica et al., 2022).

In the regional context, rural education policies face complex challenges related to connectivity and institutional stability (Jaramillo-Neira, 2022). Consequently, projecting rural leadership mediated by AI or connectivism frameworks (Siemens, 2005) requires a material base and situated management to avoid exacerbating digital exclusion. Given this scenario, research demonstrates that the viable alternative lies in synergistically articulating government policies with an endogenous development model. In this way, the educational leader acts as a catalyst, optimizing the implementation of public agendas and ensuring that global and institutional tools truly serve as drivers of inclusion, democratization, and improved quality of education in the region.

This need for coordination is reflected in the transition identified in the literature toward a socio-territorial and distributed leadership (Melo Aldana, 2024; Harris & Jones, 2021). When school management is shared and legitimized through community participation, the rural school ceases to be a passive recipient of external directives and becomes an active hub for the co-creation of citizenship and local development (Rosario, 2024). Therefore, transformative leadership should not be conceived as an individual and disconnected skill, but rather as a systemic capacity that enables complementary action by the State and the community, guaranteeing the right to an education that strengthens the productive and cultural capacities of rural areas.

6. Conclusions

The transformation of rural education in Latin America demands a paradigm shift in public management, from centralized models towards participatory, context-sensitive approaches to governance. The scientific evidence accumulated over the last decade conclusively demonstrates that transformative and pedagogical leadership plays an irreplaceable mediating role in enhancing the effectiveness of schools in vulnerable contexts, acting as the essential catalyst for strengthening the role of teachers, co-designing flexible curricula, and revitalizing the social capital of the territory.

Overall, the main theoretical contribution of this study is to highlight that the success of rural education does not depend on an exclusive choice between external guidelines and local dynamics, but rather on the constructive synergy between the two. While government policies provide the regulatory framework and essential structural resources, endogenous development provides cultural relevance, a sense of belonging, and community ownership. Transformative leadership thus emerges as the central element in harmonizing these two forces, helping to ensure that institutional guidelines, budgetary efforts, and emerging technological advances are successfully integrated

with the realities and traditional knowledge of rural areas to support the development of an equitable, relevant, and sustainable education system in the region.

Acknowledgments: During the preparation of this manuscript, the authors used the Gemini model (Google) for the sole purpose of refining the academic writing, cohesively structuring the theoretical synergy approach, and optimizing stylistic fluency in the English translation of the text in accordance with international editorial guidelines. Following this assisted process, the authors thoroughly reviewed, edited, and critically proofread the content, assuming full responsibility for the originality, accuracy, interpretation, and conclusions presented in this research.

Funding: This research was funded and institutionally endorsed by the National Open and Distance University (UNAD) of Colombia, through resources allocated via an internal call for proposals for science, technology, and innovation projects, with support from the University Francisco de Paula Santander of Ocaña through researcher Marta Milena Peñaranda.

Data availability statement: Datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Arias-Velandia, N., Vergara-Morales, J. R., & Tapia-Ladino, M. (2023). Curricular policies and decontextualization in Latin American rural education: A critical study of local epistemologies. *Revista Iberoamericana de Educación Superior*, 14(40), 45–62. <https://doi.org/10.22201/iisue.20072872e.2023.40.1501>
- Bardin, L. (2013). *Content analysis* (2nd ed.). Akal Editions, Madrid, Spain.
- Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press, New York, NY.
- Bates, A. W. (2019). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning*. Tony Bates Associates Ltd., Vancouver, BC, Canada.
- Bautista, J., Martínez, A., & Londoño, C. (2020). Structural inequalities in rural education: A comparative analysis of access and retention. *Education and City*, (38), 115–128. <https://doi.org/10.36737/01230425.n38.2020.2314>
- Bohórquez Forero, L. A. (2018). Thirty years of rural education policies in Colombia (1990–2018): Between mass coverage and economic bias. *Praxis & Saber*, 9(21), 139–162. <https://doi.org/10.19053/22160159.v9.n21.2018.8410>
- Bolívar, A. (2010). Educational leadership and its role in school improvement: A view from rural schools. *Psicoperspectivas. Individual and Society*, 9(2), 9–33. <https://doi.org/10.5027/psicoperspectivas-Vol9-Issue2-fulltext-112>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. Harper & Row, New York, NY.
- Day, C. (2011). *Successful school leadership: Linking with learning and achievement*. Open University Press, Maidenhead, United Kingdom.
- Díaz, M., & Rojas, L. (2025). Transformational leadership in rural educational management and its impact on organizational synergism. *Venezuelan Journal of Management*, 30(109), 342–359.
- Díaz Delgado, M. A., Fernández Aliaga, C. E., & Leihy, P. (2025). Policies in rural education and leadership in neoliberalism: A comparative study between Jalisco, Coquimbo and Victoria. *Journal of Economic Policy with Emphasis on Latin America*, 14(1), 45–68.
- Díaz-Jaimes, L. A., & Catalán-Cueto, J. (2024). Situated pedagogical leadership in rural schools: Catalyst for innovation and community agency. *Mexican Journal of Educational Research*, 29(101), 415–438.
- Downes, S. (2007). Places to go: Connectivism and connective knowledge. *Innovate: Journal of Online Education*, 4(2), Article 6.

- Echavarría Grajales, C. V., Vanegas, J. H., & Palacio, L. M. (2023). Multilevel governance failures in the implementation of post-agreement education policies in Colombia. *Foro Educativo*, (41), 89–112. <https://doi.org/10.21556/foredu.2023.41.1105>
- Fullan, M. (2001). *The new meaning of educational change* (3rd ed.). Teachers College Press, New York, NY.
- Fullan, M. (2020). *Leading in a culture of change* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, CA.
- Entrepreneurs for Education Foundation. (2024). Annual report on leadership and school management in Colombia: Assessment of rural principals' networks. FExE, Bogotá Colombia.
- García-Garnica, M., Martínez-Garrido, C., & Fernández-Mellizo, M. (2022). Complex school ecologies and school leadership in contexts of geographical isolation and multiculturalism. *Estudios sobre Educación*, 42, 153–176. <https://doi.org/10.15581/004.42.007>
- Hargreaves, A., & Fullan, M. (2012). *Professional capital: Transforming teaching in every school*. Teachers College Press, New York, NY.
- Hargreaves, A., & Shirley, D. (2012). *The fourth global way: The direction of educational change*. Corwin Press, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Hargreaves, A., & Shirley, D. (2020). *Five paths of student engagement: Blending the virtual, the physical, and the social*. Solution Tree Press, Bloomington, IN.
- Harris, A. (2008). *Distributed school leadership: Developing tomorrow's leaders*. Routledge, London, United Kingdom.
- Harris, A., & Jones, M. (2021). Professional learning communities and system improvement: The role of transformational leadership in times of crisis. *School Leadership & Management*, 41(4), 281–286. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13632434.2021.1971161>
- Hoy, W. K., & Miskel, C. G. (2013). *Educational administration: Theory, research, and practice* (9th ed.). McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.
- Jaramillo Neira, J. A., Aguirre, E., & Ramírez, M. A. (2022). Institutional fragmentation and chronic underfunding: Obstacles to the Comprehensive Rural Education Policy at the meso-territorial level. *Development and Society*, (91), 201–230. <https://doi.org/10.13043/DYS.91.7>
- Jiménez, C. (2022). Global trends and local adaptations in teacher professional development policies. *Educational Policy Analysis Archives*, 30(45), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.30.6811>
- Leithwood, K. (2021). A review of research on transformational school leadership. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 49(5), 705–723. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143220962310>
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2005). A review of transformational school leadership research 1996–2005. *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 4(3), 177–199. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15700760500244769>
- Leithwood, K., & Louis, K. S. (2012). *Linking school leadership to a learning community: School, district, and state effects*. Corwin Press, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Melo Aldana, C. (2024). The socio-emotional support of management and its impact on the perception of self-efficacy of multi-grade teachers [Manuscript submitted for publication]. Department of Education, National Pedagogical University, Bogotá Colombia.
- Mercado, H., & Bernate, J. (2026). Strategic school management in rural areas: Collaborative models in the face of a scarcity of institutional resources. *Revista de Investigación Educativa (RIE)*, 44(1), 112–131.
- Ministry of Education of Chile. (2026). 2026 guidelines for rural microcenters: School management in the national education policy in rural territories. General Education Division, Government of Chile, Santiago, Chile.
- Ministry of National Education of Colombia. (2020). *Comprehensive rural education policy: Strategic guidelines for access, retention and quality*. MEN, Bogotá Colombia.
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2019). *OECD Learning Compass 2030: A framework for the future of education*. OECD Publishing, Paris, France.
- Palacios-Núñez, G. (2025). Flexible models of didactic innovation in the rural multigrade classroom. *Educational Innovation*, 25(97), 54–73.
- Ramírez, J. (2021). Rural teacher leadership as a driver of didactic transformation in the isolated classroom. *Educare Electronic Journal*, 25(3), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.15359/ree.25-3.14>
- Riscos-Hinestroza, L., & Becerril-Arostegui, C. (2021). Pedagogical memory and accumulated knowledge of rural teachers in conflict zones. *Colombian Journal of Education*, (82), 241–264. <https://doi.org/10.17227/rce.num82-10312>

- Rosario, F. (2024). Rural schools in contexts of poverty: Ethical leadership and reconstruction of the social fabric. *Education, Politics and Society*, 9(2), 15–38.
- Ministry of Public Education. (2025). *The New Mexican School in the rural sphere: Ancestral knowledge and active intercultural exchange*. SEP, State of Mexico, Mexico.
- Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, 2(1), 3–10.
- Soto, E. (2023). *Inclusive leadership in environments of high social vulnerability: An ethical imperative for distributive justice*. Editorial Síntesis, Madrid, Spain.
- Spillane, J. P. (2006). *Distributed leadership*. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, CA.
- UNESCO. (2013). *School leadership in Latin America and the Caribbean: A state of the art based on eight education systems in the region*. Regional Bureau for Education in Latin America and the Caribbean, Santiago, Chile.
- UNESCO. (2021). *The post-pandemic rural school in Latin America: Resilience, alternation and emergency digital environments*. UNESCO, Paris, France.
- UNESCO. (2023). *Guidance for generative AI in education and research*. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, Paris, France.
- UNESCO Rural UNAL. (2023). *Report on the realities of peasant, ethnic and victim territorial diversity in Latin America and the Colombian education system*. National University of Colombia—Rural Education Observatory, Bogotá Colombia.
- Van Eck, N. J., & Waltman, L. (2010). Software survey: VOSviewer, a computer program for bibliometric mapping. *Scientometrics*, 84(2), 523–538. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-009-0146-3>
- Villafuerte-Holguín, J., Alonso-García, S., & Sanromán-Gacio, B. (2021). Persistent gaps between urban and rural education in Latin America: A systematic review of the literature on exclusion. *Archives of Educational Sciences*, 15(2), Article e110. <https://doi.org/10.24215/23468866e110>
- Walsh, C. (2017). *Decolonial pedagogies: Insurgent practices of resisting, (re)existing and (re)living* (Vol. 2). Abya-Yala Editions, Quito, Ecuador.